



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,081	04/12/2004	Craig M. Sanborn	SANBP001US	1695	
27949 75	7590 . 12/18/2006		EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICE OF JAY R. YABLON 910 NORTHUMBERLAND DRIVE			BERGIN, JAMES S		
	OY, NY 12309-2814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/709,0	81	SANBORN, CRA	SANBORN, CRAIG M.	
		Examine	•	Art Unit		
		James S.	~	3641		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	ecover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF The CER 1.136(a). In no evaluation. The period will apply and we by statute, cause the apply statute.	HIS COMMUNIC ent, however, may a re rill expire SIX (6) MONT blication to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the second	☑ This action is r allowance except	non-final. for formal matte		ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-107 is/are pending in the appears Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14,19,20,22,23,25,44-50,66- Claim(s) 15,24,26,27 and 32 is/are objection are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Experimental Exper	1,33-43,51-65,81 80 and 86 is/are in the cited to. in and/or election recommendation in the comment of the cited to the c	rejected. equirement.		onsideration.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on 4/12/2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) to correction is require	pe held in abeyand ed if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of group 1, claims 1-15, 19, 20, 22-27, 32, 44-50, 66-80 and 86 in the reply filed on 12/01/2006 is acknowledged. Applicant previously elected species A, sub-species e (a new sub-species defined in the interview summary of 7/26/2006 (mailed 7/31/2006), in the reply filed on 7/28/2006.
- 2. Claims 28, 33-37, 43, 51,55-59, 60-62, 64 and 65 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/01/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 44-50 and 66-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a firearm projectile that comprises a core material within at least a part of the hollow core and an expansion-inducing tip integral with the core material and protruding forward of the front of the bullet, the expansion inducing tip driving the core material rearward relative to the hollow core thereby forcing the core to expand radially outwardly upon impact with a target, does not reasonably provide enablement for an embodiment that does not include the core material integral with the expansion tip, the core material in the hollow core and expanding radially outwardly upon impact with a target. The specification does not

Art Unit: 3641

enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make an expanding projectile commensurate in scope with these claims, namely an embodiment without the core material in the hollow core, the core material integral with the expanding tip the invention.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 23, 19, 86 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 is indefinite because it is unknown how a solid material can be porous.

Claim 23 appears to be redundant in that it appears to be claiming that which has already been claimed in claim 1.

In claim 86, line 2, "the expansion tip subassembly" lacks a proper antecedent basis. Is the "the expansion tip subassembly" precisely the same element as the "expansion-inducing tip" of claim 1?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1- 6, 8, 9, 20, 22, 23, 25 and 86, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Broad (US 854,923).

Art Unit: 3641

Broad clearly anticipates the applicant's claimed expanding bullet, see especially Figs. 2, 4 and 5 and supporting sections of the specification.

Regarding claim 3, the cross sectional diameter of Broads hollow core substantially progressively increases from the rear to the front of the bullet.

Regarding claim 6, see Broad's fig. 2.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, the surface of Broad's bullet is inherently capable of being engraved.

Regarding claims 20, 25 and 86, see Broad's Fig. 2.

Regarding claim 22, see Broad's figs. 2 and 5.

9. Claims 1- 5, 8, 9, 22, 25 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wood (US 1,134,797).

Wood clearly anticipates the applicant's claimed expanding bullet, see especially Figs. 1 & 10. and supporting sections of the specification.

Regarding claim 3, the cross sectional diameter of Woods hollow core substantially progressively increases from the rear to the front of the bullet.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, the surface of Wood's bullet is inherently capable of being engraved.

Regarding claims 22 and 25, see Wood's Figs. 1 and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3641

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broad (US 854,923) or Wood (US 1,134,797).

Broad and Wood each disclose an expanding bullet as discussed above. Neither reference specifically discloses a bullet lubricant. The examiner takes official notice that the use of bullet lubricants was well known in the art at the time of invention, the lubricant helping to reduce gun barrel wear. In view of this official notice, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a bullet lubricant with either Broad's or Wood's expanding bullet, so as to help reduce gun barrel wear.

12. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (US 1,134,797).

Wood does not specifically disclose a sabot (pressure shield). The examiner takes official notice that sabots for bullets were well known in the art at the time of invention, the sabot forming a seal between the gun barrel and the bullet.

In view of the above official notice, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide Wood's bullet with a sabot so as to seal between the bullet and a desired gun barrel.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3641

13. Claims 15, 24, 26, 27 and 32 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

14. Claim 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See that attached PTO FORM 892.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 571-272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James S. Bergin